

Center for Optics and Photonics

Long-Distance Distribution of Genuine Energy-Time Entanglement

Á .Cuevas^{1,2,3}, G. Carvacho^{1,2,3}, G. Saavedra^{2,3,4}, J. Cariñ $e^{2,4}$, W. Nogueira^{1,2,3}, M. Figueroa^{2,4}, A. Cabello⁵, P. Mataloni^{6,7}, G. Lima^{1,2,3}, G. B. Xavier^{2,3,4} ¹ Departamento de Física, Universidad de Concepción, 160-C Concepción, Chile. ² Center for Optics and Photonics, Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile. ³ MSI-Nucleus for Advanced Optics, Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile. ⁴ Departamento de Ingeniería Eléctrica, Universidad de Concepción, 160-C Concepción, Chile. Departamento de Física Aplicada II, Universidad de Sevilla, E-4102 Sevilla, España. ⁶ Dipartimento de Física, Sapienza Università di Roma, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, Roma I-00185, Italy.



CONICY Ministerio de ducación

Gobierno de Chile



Introduction

The experimental violation of Bell inequalities allows for communication with security guaranteed by the impossibility of signaling at superluminal speeds [1,2]. This type of secure communication requires distributing quantum entanglement over long distances. The most common method to do it in optical fibers is based on Franson's configuration [3] [See Fig. 1], which has an intrinsic geometrical loophole (post-selection of coincident detections between Alice and Bob), and therefore, cannot rule out all possible local explanations for the apparent violation of the Bell CHSH inequality [4, 5].

Results

A great challenge in this implementation is the compensation of random phase fluctuations caused by the environment in long interferometers. We solved this by injecting a second laser into the system to provide real-time feedback for the field programmable gate array (FPGA) based control electronics [9].

 $S \equiv |E(\Phi_A, \Phi_B) + E(\Phi'_A, \Phi_B) + E(\Phi_A, \Phi'_B) - E(\Phi'_A, \Phi'_B)| \le 2$

Where the probability coincidence distributions are

 $E(\Phi_A, \Phi_B) \equiv P_{11}(\Phi_A, \Phi_B) + P_{22}(\Phi_A, \Phi_B) - P_{12}(\Phi_A, \Phi_B) - P_{21}(\Phi_A, \Phi_B)$



Fig.1: Franson Bell Test Configuration

On the practical side, this loophole can be exploited by eavesdroppers to break the security of the communication [6].



Fig 4: Net Coincident Counts vs Delay-line Position

The violation of the Bell CHSH inequality was performed with the delay line set at the center of the two-photon interference pattern, the active phase control is kept active and a piezomounted mirror slowly modulated. The phase control system is used to switch between Bob's two phase settings 0 and $\pi/2$. And the measured curves across all output combinations are displayed in Fig. 5(a)-(d).



Here we report the first experimental violation of the Bell CHSH inequality with genuine energy-time entanglement (i.e., free of the post-selection loophole) distributed through more than 1 km of optical fibers.

Solution

In order to remove the post-selection loophole we replace the original scheme with two unbalanced interferometers in the "hug" configuration introduced in [5] [See Fig. 2], which has been demonstrated in table-top experiments [7, 8].



Fig.2: Genuine Energy-Time Hug Bell Test Configuration.

The degenerate 806 nm photon pairs are created in a PPKTP non-linear crystal through the process of spontaneous parametric down-conversion. The fundamental uncertainty in the emission time of the down-converted photons sets up as indistinguishable the possible paths they can take in both equally unbalanced interferometers [5]. When this condition is satisfied, the Bell state

Fig.5: Coincidence interference curves and Bell CHSH inequality violation. (a) and (b)

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|SS\rangle + e^{i(\phi_A + \phi_B)}|LL\rangle$$

is generated, where S and L indicate the short and long arms, respectively. The long-short paths length differences are $L_A - S_A = L_B - S_B = 2m$. The experimental setup used is depicted in Fig. 3.



correspond to the cases where $\Phi_B = 0$ and (c) and (d) to the cases where $\Phi_B = 2$.

For the maximum violation of the Bell CHSH inequality the phase settings are $\phi_A = \pi/4$, $\phi_B = 0, \phi_A = -\pi/4$ y $\phi_B = \pi/2$ [7], which gives us the theoretical value $S = 2\sqrt{2}$. The measured average raw visibility was $(84.36 \pm 0.47)\%$, and the corresponding violation of the Bell CHSH inequality in this case yields $S = 2.39 \pm 0.12$, surpassing the classical limit by 3.25 standard deviations.

We have successfully demonstrated the long-distance distribution of genuine energytime entanglement over 1 km long optical fibers. These results represent an important step towards secure quantum communications in optical fibers

References

[1] A. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 661-663 (1991). [2] A. Acín et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 230501 (2007) [3] J. Franson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2205-2208 (1989) [4] S. Aerts et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2872-2875 (1999) [5] A. Cabello et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 040401 (2009). [6] J. Larsson, Quant. Inf. Comp. 2, 434-442 (2002). [7] G. Lima et al, Phys. Rev. A 81, 040401 (R) (2010). [8] G. Vallone et al, Phys. Rev. A 83, 042105 (2011). [9] G. Xavier et al, Opt. Lett. 36, 1764{1766 (2011).