A quantum protocol for the orthogonal vector problem and leakage-resilient computation

Frédéric Dupuis Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

joint work with Ivan Damgård and Jesper Buus Nielsen Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

4 September 2014

The orthogonal vector problem

Introduction

- Leakage resilience: what it is
- The split-state model
- The orthogonal vector problem
- Quantum protocol for the orthogonal vector problem

Leakage resilience



Leakage resilience

How can we model leakage resilience?

- If everything can leak, there is nothing we can do
- We need to model a more restricted adversary:
 - Leakage from a bounded number of wires [ISW03]
 - Drawback: power consumption attacks, acoustic attacks, etc
 - Bounded number of bits leaked: nothing we can do
 - Only computation leaks: only get leakage from bits currently being processed
 - Leakage is still local in some sense

How do we capture this notion?

- Only computation leaks: divide the computation into several steps
- Local leakage: split device into subprocessors
- Data being computed on → messages between subprocessors





 $V_{A,i}$: New data added to A's view between point *i* and *i* + 1.

Frédéric Dupuis



Every $f_i(\cdot)$ is at most λ bits.

Frédéric Dupuis

Can we make leakage-resistant circuits in this model?

- No: this is still impossible
- Classically, we need extra assumptions to make this true
- [GR12] Need a "ciphertext bank" at the beginning
- [DF12] Need orthogonal vectors from a leak-free component

Leak-free component from [DF12]



- \mathbb{F} : finite field of order 2^k
- Orthogonal: $\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle = \sum_i u_i v_i = 0.$
- Uniformly random over all orthogonal vectors.

Split-state model with leak-free components



Split-state model with leak-free components



Every $f_i(\cdot)$ is at most λ bits.

Frédéric Dupuis

Circuit compiler from [DF12]

How do we compile a circuit in this model?

- Bit $b \rightarrow$ pair of random vectors (\vec{b}_A, \vec{b}_B) , such that $\langle \vec{b}_A, \vec{b}_B \rangle = b$.
- For every circuit gate, use encoded implementation.
- Each gate requires a new pair (\vec{u}, \vec{v}) such that $\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle = 0$ to refresh the encoding.

So: we need a source of orthogonal vectors:



... but without the leakage-free assumption.

- Reminiscent of QKD
- We could try to distribute a state

$$|\psi\rangle_{\rm AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \sum_{\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle = 0} |\vec{u}\rangle_{\rm A} \otimes |\vec{v}\rangle_{\rm B}$$

 If we can ensure that this is indeed the state we have, then it is automatically private.

Quantum protocol: trivial?

Why don't we just do this:

- Do regular QKD to get many copies of $|\Phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$
- 2 Use entanglement dilution to convert these to $|\psi
 angle$
- Profit!
- This has several drawbacks:
 - Involves complicated quantum operations
 - What leakage model do we use for those quantum operations?

How does this work for QKD?

- **O** Distribute *m* copies of the state $|\Phi\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$.
- 2 [Test 1] Pick a set S_1 , measure both qubits in computational basis, make sure enough bits agree
- [Test 2] Pick a set S₂, measure in Hadamard basis, make sure enough bits agree
- Error correction and privacy amplification

How about trying something similar?

- **1** Distribute *m* copies of the state $|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle = 0} |\vec{u}\rangle \otimes |\vec{v}\rangle$.
- [Test 1] Pick a set S₁, measure both sides in computational basis, make sure the vectors are orthogonal
- [Test 2] Pick a set S₂, measure in Hadamard basis, and make sure that... what?
- Error correction and privacy amplification Change the classical protocol to tolerate a few errors.

What happens when we measure all the qubits of $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle = 0} |\vec{u}\rangle \otimes |\vec{v}\rangle$ in the Hadamard basis?

- With a coherent superposition of all vectors, we would get the all-zero string all the time.
- There are $\frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}|}$ orthogonal vectors \Rightarrow get all-zero strong $\frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}|}$ of the time.
- [Test 2] Pick a set S_2 , measure in Hadamard basis, and make sure that at least a fraction $\frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}|} \delta$ come out as the all-zero string

So the protocol looks like:

- **1** Distribute *m* copies of the state $|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{\langle \vec{u}, \vec{v} \rangle = 0} |\vec{u}\rangle \otimes |\vec{v}\rangle$.
- 2 [Test 1] Pick a set S_1 , measure both sides in computational basis, make sure the vectors are orthogonal
- [Test 2] Pick a set S_2 , measure in Hadamard basis, and make sure at least a fraction $\frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}|} \delta$ come out as the all-zero string
- Run the classical protocol

Why does this work?

- Pass Test 1 \Rightarrow state contains mostly orthogonal vectors.
- Pass Test 2 ⇒ state contains coherent superposition of at least ¹/_{IFI} vectors.
- There are only about $\frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}|}$ orthogonal vectors.

The problem: showing this rigorously

- Pass Test 1 \Rightarrow state is in the support of projector Π_1 with mostly only orthogonal vectors
- Pass Test 2 \Rightarrow state is in the support of projector Π_2 with lots of zeros in Hadamard basis
- What we want: state is in the support of projector $\Pi_{\rm good}$ with $|\psi\rangle$ in most positions
- None of these projectors commute

The solution: a new sampling theorem, based on "postselection" theorem.

Summary of results

- Impossibility of leakage-resilient circuit compilation in classical model without assumptions
- Adapted protocol from [DF12] to tolerate some errors in orthogonal pairs
- Showed a quantum protocol for generating orthogonal pairs with low error rate



Thank you!

Frédéric Dupuis

The orthogonal vector problem

4 September 2014 24 / 24