**Quantum receiver for phase-shift keying at the single photon level** Jasminder S. Sidhu<sup>\*</sup>, Shuro Izumi, Jonas S. Neergaard-Nielsen, Cosmo Lupo<sup>†</sup>, Ulrik L. Andersen Department of Physics, The University of Strathclyde, Glasgow (UK), & Department of Physics, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield (UK) Center for Macroscopic Quantum States (bigQ), Department of Physics, Technical University of Denmark, Fysikvej, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark <sup>\*</sup>jsmdrsidhu@gmail.com, <sup>†</sup>c.lupo@sheffield.ac.uk

# Motivation

Quantum enhanced receivers provide improved discriminatory capabilities for multiple nonorthogonal quantum states. We propose and experimentally demonstrate a new decoding scheme for quadrature phase-shift encoded signals. Our receiver surpasses the standard quantum limit and outperforms all previously known non-adaptive detectors at low input powers [1].

## Theoretical framework

Ambiguous discrimination of  $\rho_x$  from set  $\{\rho_j\}$  with prior probabilities  $\{p_j\}$  using ancillary states  $\sigma$ , a unitary transformation U, and measurement M [2, 3].

# Quadrature phase-shift key

To optimisation the success probability, first

# Experimental demonstration

We realise our QPSK decoder in temporal mode representation [4], allowing detection of two optical modes with one detector.





**Discrimination of multiple coherent states** 

The best guess for input *x*, given output *y* is the one that maximises,

$$p_{U,\sigma}(\hat{x}|y) = \max_{x} p_{U,\sigma}(x|y)$$
$$= \frac{1}{p_{U,\sigma}(y)} \max_{x} p_{U,\sigma}(y|x) p(x)$$

The average success probability is given by

fix the number of ancillary modes.



#### Average error for QPSK for different N

Additional ancillary modes increase the complexity of the decoder with minimal improvements. For the weak amplitude regime, we consider only one ancillary mode (N = 2).



#### **Optimal QPSK receiver**

#### **Experimental setup**

We implement three two-mode Kennedy receivers based on different displacement operations before photon detections: nulling operations, optimised displacement amplitude, and optimised displacement phase operations.



**Different displacement operations** 

$$p_{U,\sigma} = \sum_{y} p_{U,\sigma}(y) p_{U,\sigma}(\hat{x}|y).$$

Choose ancillary states and measurements to optimise the success probability:

 $p_s = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}} p_{U,\sigma}.$ 

# Linear optics toolbox

Mix  $\alpha_x$  with N - 1 auxiliary coherent states  $\beta_j$  through an N-mode passive, linear optical unitary U, followed by mode-wise displacements  $\delta_j$ :

$$\gamma_j = U_{j1}\alpha_x + \sum_{k=2}^N U_{jk}\beta_{k-1} + \delta_j.$$

Optimising  $p_s$  scales quadratically with the number of modes N. We reduce this to a linear complexity by instead mixing  $\alpha_x$  with N - 1 vacuum modes at the same unitary. Hence,  $\gamma_j = u_j \alpha_x + \epsilon_j$  with u a unit vector. Now determine optimal choice for u and  $\epsilon$ to maximise  $p_s$ . A near optimal receiver is attained through

$$u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1,1)$$
 and  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(i+1,i-1)$ .

Physically, this is realised by mixing the input and ancilla modes on a 50% beam splitter before being displaced. For these parameters, we obtain the following analytical expression for the average success probability:

 $p_{s} = \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + 2 \exp\left[-\frac{1+\alpha^{2}}{2}\right] \sinh\left[\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}}\right] \right)^{2},$ 

which is close to the numerically optimised success probability. Note that the independence of the success probability, and hence the optimal parameters, on  $|\alpha|$  is only valid in the weak amplitude regime. Count rates obtained from 10<sup>4</sup> trials. Error bars denote one standard deviation from five realisations for each mean photon number.



Success probability for QPSK coherent states

### References

[1] Jasminder S. Sidhu, Shuro Izumi, Jonas S. Neergaard-Nielsen, Cosmo Lupo, and Ulrik L. Andersen. Quantum receiver for phase-shift keying at the single-photon level. *PRX Quantum*, 2:010332, Feb 2021.

[2] Carl W. Helstrom. Detection theory and quantum mechanics. *Inform. Control*, 10(3):254–291, 1967.

[3] Stephen M. Barnett and Sarah Croke. Quantum state discrimination. Adv. Opt. Photon., 1(2):238–278, April 2009.

[4] Shuro Izumi, Jonas S. Neergaard-Nielsen, and Ulrik L. Andersen. Tomography of a feedback measurement with photon detection. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 124:070502, Feb 2020.

