MIMO Terahertz Quantum Key Distribution

Neel Kanth Kundu^{*}, Soumya P. Dash[†], Matthew R. McKay^{*}, and Ranjan K. Mallik⁺ *Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, [†]Indian Institute of Technology Bhubaneswar, ⁺Indian Institute of Technology Delhi



A multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) continuous variable quantum key distribution (CVQKD) scheme is proposed for improving the secret key rates and increasing the maximum transmission distance for terahertz (THz) CVQKD applications. Further, a transmit beamforming and receive combining scheme is proposed that converts the rank-r MIMO channel between Alice and Bob into r parallel lossy quantum channels whose transmittances depend on the non-zero singular values of the MIMO channel. The MIMO transmission scheme provides a multiplexing gain of r, along with a beamforming and array gain equal to the product of the number of transmit and receive antennas. Our simulation results show that multiple antennas are necessary to overcome the high free-space path loss at THz frequencies. Thus THz MIMO CVQKD can be used for beyond 5G ultra-secure networks.

2. Introduction

With over 4.7B internet users worldwide, the se-

3. MIMO QKD

The schematic of the MIMO CVQKD scheme is shown in the following figure.



curity and privacy of users using banking, email and social media is very important. The security of the current cryptography algorithms using RSA and AES can be broken by a nearterm Quantum computer by using Shor's and Grover's algorithm. Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) provides unconditional security which is guaranteed by the laws of quantum physics. Optical wireless links are good for point-topoint QKD links which does not support mobility. Microwave frequency has higher preparation thermal noise, hence it is not suitable for mobile CVQKD applications. Terahertz frequency range which is a candidate spectrum for 6G and has a reasonable preparation thermal noise can potentially be used for mobile CVQKD applications.

4. System Model

The MIMO terahertz channel model between Alice and Bob is given by [1]



5. Secret Key Rate

In the large modulation limit, the secret key rate is given by $(V_s \text{ is Alice's transmitted signal variance,} V_0 \text{ is preparation thermal noise, } W \text{ is the variance of the noise injected by Eve, } V_a = V_s + V_0 \text{ and} \Lambda_i(x, y) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} T_i x + (1 - T_i))$ $R_{\text{MIMO}}^{\blacktriangleleft} \approx \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{1}{2} \log_2 \left[1 + \frac{T_i V_s}{\Lambda_i(V_0, W)} \right] - h(\Lambda_i(W, V_a)) - h(W) + h\left(\sqrt{\frac{V_a W \Lambda_i(W, V_a)}{\Lambda_i(V_a, W)}}\right) + h\left(\sqrt{\frac{W \Lambda_i(W V_a, 1)}{\Lambda_i(V_a, W)}}\right) \right)$ MIMO scheme provides a multiplexing gain of r. Taylor series expansion of secret key rate is given by: $R_{\text{MIMO}}^{\bigstar} \approx 0.72 \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{H}^{\dagger} \mathbf{H}\right) \left[\frac{V_s}{W} - \ln\left(\frac{V_a + 1}{V_a - 1}\right) \left(\frac{V_a^2 - W^2}{2W} - V_a\right) \right] - rh(W)$

$$\mathbf{H} = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sqrt{\gamma_l} e^{j2\pi f_c \tau_l} \boldsymbol{\psi}_R \left(\phi_l^r\right) \boldsymbol{\psi}_T^{\dagger} \left(\phi_l^t\right) , \quad (1)$$

Let $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^{\dagger}$ be the SVD of \mathbf{H} , then the input-output (I/O) relation between Alice and Bob is (without beamforming) [1]:

 $\hat{oldsymbol{a}}_B = \mathbf{U} oldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^\dagger \hat{oldsymbol{a}}_A + \mathbf{U} \mathbf{S} \hat{oldsymbol{a}}_E \ ,$

(2)

Alice uses V for beamforming and Bob uses \mathbf{U}^\dagger for coherent combining, then the I/O is given by

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_B = \mathbf{U}^{\dagger} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{V} \hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_A + \mathbf{U}^{\dagger} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{S} \hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_E \,. \tag{3}$

Let r be the rank of **H**, then we have r parallel SISO channels between Alice and Bob:

$$\hat{a}_{B,i} = \sqrt{T_i} \hat{a}_{A,i} + \sqrt{1 - T_i} \hat{a}_{E,i}$$
, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$,
(4)
where $\sqrt{T_i}$ is the *i*-th non-zero singular value
of **H**. Bob randomly chooses one of the quadra-
tures and performs homodyne measurement giv-

Since, tr $(\mathbf{H}^{\dagger}\mathbf{H}) \propto N_r N_t$, thus MIMO scheme provides a beamforming gain of $N_r N_t$ as compared to the SISO scheme.



It can be observed that the transmission distance is in centimeters with single antenna (SISO) system while it can be in meters for MIMO scheme. The vertical shift in the secret key rate for a given distance is due the beamforming gain from the MIMO transmission scheme.

ing the I/O (i = 1, 2, ..., r):

 $\hat{X}_{B,i} = \sqrt{T_i} \hat{X}_{A,i} + \sqrt{1 - T_i} \hat{X}_{E,i}$ (5)

and the input-output of Eve's ancilla mode is

 $\hat{X}_{E',i} = -\sqrt{1 - T_i}\hat{X}_{A,i} + \sqrt{T_i}\hat{X}_{E,i} \qquad (6)$

8. References

[1] N. K. Kundu, S. P. Dash, M. R. McKay, and R. K. Mallik. MIMO terahertz quantum key distribution. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.03642*, 2021.

7. Conclusions

- 1. We have proposed a MIMO THz CVQKD for beyond 5G wireless networks
- 2. SVD based beamforming and combining converts the rank r-MIMO channel into r parallel SISO channels providing a multiplexing gain of r.
- **3**. The beamforming gain from MIMO increases the effective channel transmittance which in turn increases the secret key rate and the maximum transmission distance of MIMO scheme as compared to SISO scheme.
- 4. MIMO scheme is necessary to overcome the high free-space path loss and atmospheric absorption loss and achieve positive secret key rate for indoor and outdoor applications.
- 5. Future extensions of this work should incorporate the finite size effects and the channel estimation errors in the secret key rate analysis.